

**ISO TC 20/SC 14**

Date: 2006-02-15

**ISO/CD 24637**

ISO TC 20/SC 14/WG 1

Secretariat: ANSI

## **Space systems — Electromagnetic interference (EMI) test reporting requirements**

*TBD — TBD —*

### **Warning**

This document is not an ISO International Standard. It is distributed for review and comment. It is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an International Standard.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Document type: International Standard  
Document subtype:  
Document stage: (30) Committee  
Document language: E

### Copyright notice

This ISO document is a working draft or committee draft and is copyright-protected by ISO. While the reproduction of working drafts or committee drafts in any form for use by participants in the ISO standards development process is permitted without prior permission from ISO, neither this document nor any extract from it may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form for any other purpose without prior written permission from ISO.

Requests for permission to reproduce this document for the purpose of selling it should be addressed as shown below or to ISO's member body in the country of the requester:

[Indicate the full address, telephone number, fax number, telex number, and electronic mail address, as appropriate, of the Copyright Manager of the ISO member body responsible for the secretariat of the TC or SC within the framework of which the working document has been prepared.]

Reproduction for sales purposes may be subject to royalty payments or a licensing agreement.

Violators may be prosecuted.

# Contents

Page

Foreword .....	iv
Introduction.....	iv
<b>1 Scope .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Purpose .....	1
1.2 Application .....	1
<b>2 Normative references.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms, definitions, symbols, and abbreviated terms .....</b>	<b>1</b>
3.1 Terms and definitions .....	1
3.2 Symbols and abbreviated terms .....	2
<b>4 Equipment-level EMI test reporting requirements .....</b>	<b>2</b>
4.1 General reporting requirements .....	2
4.1.1 Description of the test article .....	2
4.1.2 Supporting documentation .....	2
4.1.3 Signature information .....	3
4.1.4 Summary conclusions .....	3
4.1.5 Modifications .....	3
4.2 Specific reporting requirements .....	3
4.2.1 EMI measurement system information .....	3
4.2.2 EMI measurement reporting resolution .....	4
4.3 Reporting emissions test results.....	4
4.3.1 Conducted interference test results.....	4
4.3.2 Radiated emissions test results .....	5
4.4 Reporting immunity test results .....	5
4.4.1 Determination of susceptibility.....	5
4.4.2 Conducted immunity.....	5
4.4.3 Antenna port immunity .....	6
4.4.4 Radiated immunity .....	6
4.4.5 Pulse immunity, ESD and lightning.....	6
<b>Annex A (informative) Rationale behind EMI test report requirements .....</b>	<b>7</b>
A.1 General .....	7
A.2 Rationale for requirements.....	7
A.3 The EMC system's analysis process.....	7
A.4 Equipment-level EMI test reporting requirements .....	8
A.4.1 General Reporting Requirements .....	8
A.4.2 Specific reporting requirements .....	8
A.4.3 Reporting emissions test results.....	10

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 24637 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 20, *Aircraft and space vehicles*, Subcommittee SC 14, *Space systems and operations*.

## Introduction

Throughout this International Standard, the minimum essential criteria are identified by the use of the key word “shall”. Recommended criteria are identified by the use of the key word “should”, and while not mandatory are considered to be of primary importance in providing serviceable, economical and practical designs. Deviations from the recommended criteria should occur only after careful consideration, extensive testing and thorough service evaluation have shown alternative methods to be satisfactory.



# Space systems — Electromagnetic interference (EMI) test reporting requirements

## 1 Scope

### 1.1 Purpose

This draft international standard provides specific requirements for the content of equipment-level EMI test report documentation to ensure that sufficient data is provided for subsequent integration analysis of complex space systems. It is not intended to standardize data presentation format, but to standardize content. Emphasis is placed on necessary test report augmentation to include additional test data reporting when specifically needed to document any EMI test limit failures for spaceflight hardware .

### 1.2 Application

The requirements presented herein apply only to EMI test reports at the equipment level. These requirements are particularly important when an EMI test limit non-conformance waiver will be requested for spaceflight equipment in lieu of re-design. System level EMC test reporting shall comply with ISO 15864 and ISO 14302.

## 2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 14302:2002, *Space systems — Electromagnetic compatibility requirements*

ISO 15864, *Space Systems – General test methods for spacecraft, subsystems, and units*

ISO/IEC 17025:2005, *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*

## 3 Terms, definitions, symbols, and abbreviated terms

### 3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, *the following terms and definitions apply.*

#### 3.1.1

##### **Damage**

Degradation of performance so severe that product performance cannot be restored without removing the product from the system for repair

#### 3.1.2

##### **Degradation criteria**

The minimum performance criteria required for acceptance of the product as specified in the EMI test plan

### 3.1.3

#### **Hard upset**

a degradation of performance that requires manual (non-automatic) issuance of a reset command or intervening procedure to restore product nominal performance without removal from the system

### 3.1.4

#### **Product specification**

the equipment under test (EUT) functional minimum performance requirements with associated accuracy parameters

### 3.1.5

#### **Soft upset**

a degradation of performance that does not persist immediately following the removal of the immunity test stimulus

### 3.1.6

#### **Threshold of susceptibility**

the onset of a just-noticeable degradation in product performance

## **3.2 Symbols and abbreviated terms**

dB	Decibel
EMI	Electromagnetic interference
EMC	Electromagnetic compatibility
EUT	Equipment under test
IF	Intermediate frequency
LISN	Line impedance stabilization network

## **4 Equipment-level EMI test reporting requirements**

### **4.1 General reporting requirements**

The general content of the EMI test report shall be in accordance with ISO/IEC 17025, section 5.10.

#### **4.1.1 Description of the test article**

The test article shall be identified by model and serial number. A functional description of the EUT shall be provided in sufficient detail to enable the EMC systems analyst to understand the criticality of function, operating modes, and if known, the significance of interfaces with other equipment in the complete operating system. This description shall include an explanation of nominal signal parameters such as data rates, clock speeds, oscillator frequencies, IF's with bandwidths, and voltage input and output characteristics. A complete description of the test setup shall be supplied in the form of photos and individual wiring harness schematics, including twisting and grounding/isolation configuration of the power return lead relative to structure for secondary power and signal returns. It is expected that the EUT will be tested using flight-like cabling and connectors. A statement as such, or any deviation from flight harness configuration shall be noted in the test report. Setup, operation, and control settings of any video display which is part of the EUT shall be described.

#### **4.1.2 Supporting documentation**

The standard(s) to which the EUT was tested shall be clearly described in the test report. The EMI test report shall reference other supporting documentation containing the functional description and interface wiring, the EMI test plan, EMI test specifications, techniques, procedures, and the daily test log.



#### 4.1.3 Signature information

The EMI test report shall contain the names of the EUT product engineer and the EMI test engineer, including sufficient information so that they may be contacted by telephone or e-mail. The location of the test site shall be identified in the test report.

#### 4.1.4 Summary conclusions

The EMI test report shall contain a summary conclusion narrative stating either complete compliance with each of the EMI test requirements, or describing any non-compliance with the test limits or approved test procedure.

#### 4.1.5 Modifications

A description shall be provided to explain any modification employed during the test to modify the EUT or test setup during the course of performing the test plan. It shall be made clear in the test report which corrective actions (modification of the EUT or configuration during the test) will become a part of the flight article with those test results clearly marked as such.

### 4.2 Specific reporting requirements

The test report shall contain all the information necessary to reproduce the test.

#### 4.2.1 EMI measurement system information

The following EMI measurement system detail shall be included in the EMI test report:

- a) Test equipment used, software support program, and calibration certification
- b) Photograph of each unique test setup including EUT and interfacing cabling
- c) Antenna factors and frequency ranges of magnetic and electric field antennas
- d) Antenna distance from EUT
- e) Transfer impedance of current probes and/or termination impedances provided by LISNs
- f) LISN measurement transfer function as a function of frequency
- g) Description of software operations/calculations used to produce measurement output (scan averaging or peak-hold, antenna factors, cable loss, attenuators, and amplifiers). This description shall include the means used to verify each test method's end-to-end accuracy. If data quality has been compromised due to test conditions, the reason and impact on results shall be stated.
- h) Measurement system scan speeds, step sizes, and measurement bandwidths as a function of frequency
- i) Antenna polarizations used
- j) EUT power source frequency, voltage, nominal operating current, and facility temperature and relative humidity
- k) Compression level of active devices (amplifiers) in measurement chain
- l) Facility limitations from that requested or required by the test plan and procedures
- m) Method of radiated immunity field determination and modulation type
- n) Grounding configuration of EUT and test support equipment

#### **4.2.2 EMI measurement reporting resolution**

EMI test data shall be reported in graphic form with frequency resolution of 1 percent, and decibel notation within 1 dB. For test limit failure conditions, improved data resolution shall be provided as further explained in clause 4.3.

#### **4.3 Reporting emissions test results**

Data output shall be in the form of amplitude of the EUT test result, superimposed with the EMI test limit. In the event of any emissions test result over the EMI test limit above 100 MHz, greater accuracy of its frequency shall be reported with resolution equal to twice the measurement bandwidth. Conducted and radiated interference background plots shall be included for each test configuration unless all emission data are 6 dB below the limit. Test data shall include date and time recorded.

##### **4.3.1 Conducted interference test results**

Each conducted interference test measurement result shall be clear in its intent to measure common-mode or differential-mode current or voltage.

###### **4.3.1.1 Power bus conducted interference, load induced, frequency domain**

Data presentation shall be a graphic output of amplitude versus frequency. Units of measurement for frequency domain conducted emissions measurements shall be reported in units of dB referenced to one microvolt (dBuV) when using a LISN, or referenced to one microampere (dBuA) when using a current probe, depending on which transducer is specified by the test method and its associated limit.

###### **4.3.1.2 Power bus conducted interference, load induced, time domain ripple**

Data presentation shall be a graphic output of amplitude versus time, at a sweep speed that best displays the voltage ripple frequency. Amplitude resolution shall be within 3 percent and time base within 5 percent.

###### **4.3.1.3 Power bus load induced switching transients**

Data presentation shall be a graphic output of voltage amplitude versus time, or current versus time for in-rush at a sweep speed that best displays the transient characteristic with respect to the applicable limit. Amplitude resolution shall be within 3 percent and time base within 5 percent.

###### **4.3.1.4 Antenna connection port spurious emissions**

###### **4.3.1.4.1 Receivers and transmitters (in standby mode)**

Data presentation shall be a graphic output of amplitude versus frequency. Minimum frequency resolution shall be twice the measurement receiver bandwidth, with a minimum amplitude resolution of 1 dB for each graph.

###### **4.3.1.4.2 Transmitters (in transmit mode)**

Data presentation shall be graphical indicating power amplitude within 1 dB for fundamental transmit frequency, and frequencies of all harmonics and spurious emissions detected by the applicable test method.

### 4.3.2 Radiated emissions test results

#### 4.3.2.1 Steady state radiated emissions

##### 4.3.2.1.1 Magnetic field

Data presentation shall be a graphic output of amplitude versus frequency. Magnetic field radiated emissions measurements shall be reported in units of dB relative to one picoTesla (dBpT). In the event of a non-conformance, the distance at each face shall be recorded at which the EUT meets the emissions limit.

##### 4.3.2.1.2 Electric field

Data presentation shall be a graphic output of amplitude versus frequency. Electric field radiated emissions measurements shall be reported in units of dB relative to one microvolt per meter (dBuV/m).

#### 4.3.2.2 Transient radiated emissions

In the event a EUT is capable of creating short duration transients, data output shall report the transient pulse repetition rate, and the frequency(s) over the steady state limit. For a single event pulse exceeding the steady state limit, the pulse duration at the failed frequency with highest amplitude shall be reported.

### 4.4 Reporting immunity test results

#### 4.4.1 Determination of susceptibility

A description shall be provided explaining which EUT functional outputs were monitored to verify immunity, including a list of the degradation criteria. If the EUT uses a built-in-test for this function, its operation and thresholds shall be described. If or when susceptibility is observed, multiple levels of susceptibility shall be determined and recorded in the EMI test report to aid the systems analysis. At each frequency, or band of frequencies, the immunity input test level shall be determined with a description of the EUT response(s) recorded for the following conditions:

- a) Threshold of susceptibility
- b) EUT performance specification level exceeded
- c) EUT transition from soft upset to hard upset
- d) Description of degradation of performance with full test level applied

It is extremely important to also record the immunity test level that would result in the EUT issuing a command (to a caution and warning system for example) even though this could be classified as a soft upset for the EUT itself.

If the EMI test plan is not an attachment to the EMI test report, the susceptibility criteria defined in the test plan shall be repeated in the test report.

#### 4.4.2 Conducted immunity

Data presentation shall be a graphic or tabular output of injected amplitude at each frequency tested. If the EUT does not meet the specified limit for conducted immunity, the following failure amplitude test levels and product performance shall be determined and recorded for each failed frequency (or band of frequencies) and for each power lead:

- a) Threshold of susceptibility
- b) EUT performance specification level exceeded

- c) EUT transition from soft upset to hard upset
- d) Description of degradation of performance with full test level applied

#### **4.4.3 Antenna port immunity**

Data presentation shall be a graphic or tabular output of injected amplitude at each frequency tested. If the EUT does not meet the specified limit for antenna port immunity, the following failure amplitude test levels and product performance shall be determined and recorded:

- a) Threshold of susceptibility
- b) EUT performance specification level exceeded
- c) EUT transition from soft upset to hard upset
- d) Description of degradation of performance with full test level applied

#### **4.4.4 Radiated immunity, electric and magnetic field**

Data presentation shall be a graphic or tabular output of field amplitude at each frequency tested. If the EUT does not meet the specified limit for radiated immunity, the following failure amplitude test levels and product performance shall be determined and recorded for each failed frequency (or band of frequencies):

- a) Threshold of susceptibility
- b) EUT performance specification level exceeded
- c) EUT transition from soft upset to hard upset
- d) Description of degradation of performance with full test level applied

If a magnetic field immunity test is required, and non-compliance should result, then an additional measurement distance should be reported at the distance where the magnetic field immunity source no longer results in susceptibility.

#### **4.4.5 Pulse immunity, ESD and lightning**

Data presentation shall be a graphic or tabular output of amplitude at each impulse tested. If the EUT does not meet the specified limit, the following failure amplitude test levels and product performance shall be determined and recorded for each failure condition:

- a) Threshold of susceptibility
- b) EUT performance specification level exceeded
- c) EUT transition from soft upset to hard upset
- d) Description of degradation of performance with full test level applied

## **Annex A** (informative)

### **Rationale behind EMI test report requirements**

#### **A.1 General**

This Annex is an explanation of why the required information is important to the system analyst – why is it needed and how will it be used.

This equipment level standard places emphasis on test reporting in those cases where full compliance cannot be achieved after appropriate re-design efforts. This standard requires that additional data be provided to aid in system-level EMC analysis to substantiate granting a waiver to non-compliant spaceflight equipment. In most cases, the additional data required by this standard is normally obtained during the course of attempting to determine what re-design efforts are needed to ensure full compliance, however that data is seldom recorded or reported in an archival way that includes it in the final test report.

Testing of any EUT requires the preparation of a written and approved EMI test plan as specified in ISO 14302 or other contract requirements for EMI equipment-level testing. This test plan should provide for, and anticipate what actions will be taken should any test method not result in full compliance. This standard and the Annex A attempts to lead one through this thought process so that the data and documentation are complete.

#### **A.2 Rationale for requirements**

Many spaceflight programs contain equipment that is non-critical. These generally are one-of-a-kind instruments or elements of a science payload. These equipment however should not interfere with critical and essential systems (or neighboring non-critical equipment) on-board the same platform. For this reason it is mandatory that EMI data be acquired and archived in a document that allows for this system-level EMC analysis. This is particularly important when equipment exhibits an over-the-limit radiated emission at a frequency that has the potential to interfere with a victim receiver, or when a combination of equipment could adversely affect power quality. These potential incompatibilities are almost never known by the EUT test laboratory, but are discovered during the EMC analysis process after equipment level testing is complete. The requirements in this standard are intended to provide all the necessary data that is needed to resolve these potential incompatibilities without the need, or limited need for additional integrated EMC testing. It is not expected that the immunity reporting requirements of this standard apply to systems. Requirements in Paragraph 4.4 could be potentially damaging or degrading at the system level.

#### **A.3 The EMC systems analysis process**

Except for on-board intentional emitters, the electromagnetic environment definition is composed of a worst-case envelope of a great variety of RF sources that are possible to be encountered during payload processing, pre-launch operations, launch and ascent, and on-orbit operations. The probability of encountering the maximum defined electromagnetic field at any instant is quite small, however it is possible. More likely is that there is a lower “ambient” field that will be encountered by the system which is largely undefined, but can be estimated by the EMC system analyst. For this reason it is probable that a non-critical EUT that fails to meet the susceptibility test limit at select frequencies at amplitudes not far below that limit may be shown to meet the program objectives for the system when the proper supporting data required by this standard is available. It should be emphasized that equipment which is part of a critical system should meet all EMI test limits.

## **A.4 Equipment-level EMI test reporting requirements**

### **A.4.1 General Reporting Requirements**

The EMC system analyst is usually not familiar with the design and functional output characteristics of every EUT comprising the system. For this reason it is mandatory to include in the EMI test report, a sufficient description of the EUT so that the effect (degradation of performance) on the system caused by any EMI test failure can be evaluated. The test report should contain easily obtainable references that would serve this function like the functional requirements document.

#### **A.4.1.1 Description of the test article**

Space systems usually require the use of a single point grounding power system to minimize the intentional current flow through structure. This usually results in a requirement on the EUT to have the power leads isolated from structure. The grounding/isolation configuration of the power return lead should be documented in the test report. EMI test results can be skewed significantly by cabling configuration (shielded versus unshielded wire, foil shield versus braid, pigtail termination versus 360 degree back shell). Flight-like cabling and connectors should be used to the maximum extent possible and documented in the EMI test report. Video display settings can have a profound effect on both emissions and susceptibility. The video display contained in a EUT should be operated in its most sensitive mode. Contrast controls should be set at maximum, and brightness at maximum or at raster extinction. Color displays should use white letters on a black background with character size and characters per line set at the typical number of maximum characters to be displayed. The configuration of video system control settings that are part of the EUT should be reported.

#### **A.4.1.2 Supporting documentation**

Requirements for supporting documentation in this standard may be satisfied by submission of the EMI test plan and other information as an appendix to the test report. A description of differences between any intended operational configuration and the EMI test configurations need to be made clear. If a modified or alternate test method was used, the EMI test report must identify and describe that method, provide justification for its use, and describe how the results obtained through its use correlate with the methods and limits specified by the standard to which the EUT was to be tested.

#### **A.4.1.4 Summary Conclusions**

It is necessary for the EMI test report to include a concise summary indicating whether the EUT passes or fails each test, and gives margins with respect to the limits to which it was tested. All non-compliances shall be identified such that this information may be used as a reference to review the detailed data provided later in the report. This summary provides the EMC systems analyst with an explanation from the EUT point of view on the severity of the non-compliance if it should occur in only certain operating modes. If the EUT only passes with specific modifications or special attributes (such as shielded cables) this information shall be included in the summary results.

#### **A.4.1.5 Modifications**

The test report should be clear as to what configuration applies to the flight hardware when multiple sets of data are included. If modification of the EUT is required to improve its performance, these modifications shall be explained in the test report.

### **A.4.2 Specific reporting requirements**

EMI test measurement systems are usually operated by computer controlled software. The measurement data collection, manipulation, and output format are typically similar but not exactly the same for each system manufacturer. For this reason this standard does not impose specific data presentation formats.

#### A.4.2.1 Test setup documentation

It is necessary to document the test measurement setup and associated parameters in sufficient detail that the test may be accurately repeated, or when the EUT is to be integrated into a subsystem or system test.

- a) A complete list of all test equipment used should be included in the test report. This information must include manufacturer's model number and date of last calibration.
- b) Photographs, or drawings showing each wire (length and placement) of the measurement configuration (do not use one-line diagrams) should be included. Block system diagrams are required to show interconnection of EUT loads and other functional units necessary for the test.
- c) Antenna factors and frequency ranges for all antennas used during each test are required. This information can aid in determining if output data is noise-floor limited.
- d) The required measurement distance/placement of antennas and other transducers should be reported. If this placement is different from the requirement in the test standard, the reason for such deviation shall be reported. Radiated emissions measurements taken at alternative distances should be reported at the actual distance used.
- e) Transducer factors and frequency ranges for all current probes used during each test are required. The termination impedance of all LISNs used in the test setup are required to be reported.
- f) Transducer factors and frequency ranges for all LISNs used during each test are required.
- g) The description of software operations/calculations used to produce measurement output (scan averaging or peak-hold, antenna factors, cable loss, attenuators, and amplifiers) are required to ensure all loss/gain characteristics of the measurement network have been properly accounted for in the data reduction and reporting process. This description shall include the means (for example, current probe calibration fixture) used to verify each test method's end-to-end accuracy.
- h) Measurement system scan speeds, step sizes, and measurement bandwidths should be documented in the test report to aid in the EMC system analysis process.
- i) All radiated emissions data should be accompanied by a description of the antenna polarization position.
- k) Overload of the measurement system input is possible when a strong signal compresses either the active transducer (active rod antenna for example) or the measurement receiver or spectrum analyzer itself. For transducers, this saturation level should be known and documented in the EMI test report, particularly when measurement data is over the limit
- l) Any compromise of the required EMI test methods, as-written test plan, or facility operation should be adequately described in the EMI test report.
- m) There are a number of EMI test method standards that are acceptable for determining the radiated immunity applied field such as E-field probes adjacent to the EUT or the use of a predetermined calibrated volume. The method used should be documented in the EMI test report.
- n) It is mandatory that the grounding configuration of the EUT and test support equipment be understood, documented, and reported. This information becomes necessary when further integrated testing is required, and to ensure that ground reference connections of the EUT and its wiring are understood relative to that of the integrated system.

#### A.4.2.2 EMI measurement reporting resolution

Resolution of 1 dB is required on all plots of amplitude versus frequency. This does not mean (or require) that the data presented are accurate to within 1 dB. Resolution is the capability to distinguish between the relative values on a given graphic output.

When an emission test limit cannot be met, greater frequency resolution is required to assess the compatibility with intentional receivers in the complete system. This resolution should ideally be less than or equal to the victim receiver bandwidth.

### **A.4.3 Reporting emissions test results**

**A.4.3.2.2** If an EUT produces short duration radiated emission pulses in excess of the steady state limit, its characteristics must be reported in both the time domain (for duration and pulse repetition rate) and in the frequency domain (for its broadband characteristic). Typical sources of single event transient electric and magnetic field radiated emissions might include spark igniters, pulsed thrusters, or when switching high inductance loads.

**A.4.4** Most EMI test method standards only require that the threshold of susceptibility be reported in the event of degradation of performance. For spaceflight equipment, it is essential to understand the response of the EUT in detail, and its possible effect on the system, when any susceptibility is observed during immunity testing. In those cases where the immunity test requirement cannot be met, this standard requires that multiple levels of susceptibility be reported as the immunity test level is increased from the initial observed response through full test level. If a EUT is expected to apply for a waiver of non-compliance when used in a spaceflight system, one measurement of susceptibility alone is insufficient. Data should be supplied to not only report the onset of interference, but also to report the EUT response at the conditions in paragraph 4.4.1 or the full immunity test level as a minimum if that response is limited to a soft upset. It is extremely important to report any immunity test level that results in a transition from a soft upset to a hard upset, which requires an external command or action to restore the EUT to nominal performance once the immunity stimulus is removed.

**A.4.4.1** In order to accurately report the threshold of susceptibility, the immunity test signal is to be reduced until the EUT susceptibility response disappears, continuing to reduce the immunity input signal by an additional 6 dB. Then increase the immunity test signal slowly until the response threshold re-occurs, and record this value.